

REMARKS

These remarks are responsive to the Office Action dated June 16, 2004, which required a two-way restriction requirement between Invention I (claims 1, 3, 7 and 9) and Invention II (claims 1-2, 4-8 and 10-12). Applicants do hereby elect Invention II for further prosecution. Accordingly, the claim listing above shows Claims 3 and 9 as being withdrawn. Applicants understand that a further election may be required should any of the generic claims 1-2 and 7-8 not finally be held allowable. Applicants interpreted the language of the Office Action as not requiring such a further election at this time. If this interpretation is incorrect, please inform the undersigned attorney of record.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 12th day of July, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,



ADRIAN J. LEE
Registration No. 42,785
Attorney for Applicant
Customer No. 022913

AJL:ds
DS0000002234V001